

(81)

- once this is done we'll have shown all of these notions of "computable function" are equivalent!

Theorem every TM computable function is MA Computable.

PF: - Suppose M is a TM on $A = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$ with $\sigma_0 = *$ = empty space symbol

- may assume M is a "table TM"
- states are $Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_p$ (includes some ^{start} state)
- gonna build an "equivalent" MA on $B = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, Q_0, \dots, Q_p, Q_{p+1}, Q_{p+2}, \#, \#\}$

- idea: current state of TM can be visualized as $\dots **x \underset{Q_t}{\sigma_j} y ** \dots$

where $x, y \in (A \cup \{*\})^*$ (i.e. x, y can include $*$'s)

- $\underset{Q_t}{\triangle}$ indicates r/w head in state Q_t (pointing at square w/ σ_j)

- in our MA alphabet, we'll ~~describe~~ ^{describe} this state as

$\# x Q_t \sigma_j y \#$

- when Q_t a non-halting state our MA A will contain productions

of the form

$$\#xQ_i\sigma_j y \# \rightarrow \#x'Q_i'\sigma_j'y' \#$$

where letter is the description of the TM after it is applied to first ~~some~~ description

(so x', y' will be one longer ~~or~~ or shorter than x, y depending on if we "moved" left or right)

- deal w/ halting states separately
- also will include an initial production that transforms a non-empty word w into $\#Q_0 w \#$

→ More precisely:

Sys Q_i a non-halting state

1) if $(Q_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_n, 1, Q_m)$ in table for our TM, include all productions of the form

$$Q_i \sigma_j \sigma_\ell \rightarrow \sigma_n Q_m \sigma_\ell \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq \ell \leq k)$$

as well as the prod'n

$$Q_i \sigma_j \# \rightarrow \sigma_n Q_m \sigma_0 \# \quad *$$

2) if $(Q_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_n, -1, Q_m)$ in table include all

$$\sigma_\ell Q_i \sigma_j \rightarrow Q_m \sigma_\ell \sigma_n \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k$$

as well as

$$\# Q_i \sigma_j \rightarrow \# Q_m \sigma_0 \sigma_n$$

3) if $(Q_i, \sigma_i, \sigma_n, \emptyset, Q_m)$ include
 $Q_i \sigma_j \rightarrow Q_m \sigma_n$

Call collection of these ~~productions~~
 productions P_i (corresponding to Q_i ^{state})

— now, for Q_i a halting state,
 include the prod'ns

$$Q_i \rightarrow Q_{p+1} \quad (\text{call this } P_i \text{ too})$$

~~also include all prod'ns~~
~~also include all prod'ns~~

— also include all prod'ns

$$\sigma_j Q_{p+1} \rightarrow Q_{p+1} \sigma_j \quad 0 \leq j \leq k$$

also

$$\# Q_{p+1} \rightarrow Q_{p+2}$$

... so after we reach $\# x Q_i \sigma_j y \#$
 these take us to $Q_{p+2} x \sigma_j y \#$ ↖ halting

— also include prod'ns

$$Q_{p+2} \sigma_j \rightarrow \sigma_j Q_{p+2} \quad 0 \leq j \leq k$$

$$Q_{p+2} \# \rightarrow_t \emptyset$$

... these take us from $Q_{p+2} x \sigma_j y \#$ to
 $x \sigma_j y$ (terminally)

ask
halt

Call these post-halting productions R

- Finally we need "prep" prod's to take a non-empty input w to $\# Q_0 w \#$
- as follows:

- include all prod's of form
 $\$ \sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma_i \$ \quad 0 \leq i \leq k$

also

$$\$ \rightarrow \#$$

Call these prod's S

- lastly include
 $\emptyset \rightarrow \# Q_0 \$$ call this $(+)$

Let our MA A be these prod's in the following order
 $S, P_0, P_1, \dots, P_p, R, (+)$

Observe on nonempty word w :

- $(+)$ takes to $\# Q_0 \$ w$
- S takes to $\# Q_0 w \#$
- Now non-halting P_i blocks apply
- correctly simulate TM until we reach $\# x Q_i \sigma_j y \# w / Q_i$ halting
- then $P_i + R$ takes us to $x \sigma_j y \leftarrow \text{output of TM}$

So: to close implication loop, remains to prove

Theorem every MA-computable function is recursive.

PF. - sps $f: (A^*)^n \rightarrow A^*$ is MA-compl.
 - i.e. there is MA A and $B \geq A \cup \{, \}$
 s.t. $\underbrace{e^n}_{A} \upharpoonright (A^*)^n = f$
 (recall: this means on input $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ w/ commas included, A terminates on $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$)

WTS f is recursive.

- Consider the following functions

1.) $I_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ (w/ commas)
 (i.e. I_n takes $\vec{x}$ and outputs as a word w/ commas)

- then I_n is p.r. since $I_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{con}_{2n-1}(x_1, , , x_2, , , \dots, , , x_n)$
 which is pr (why?)

2.) $A^*(x, y) = \text{unique word } z$
 that A produces on input x in exactly $|y|$ steps

... where we treat all pred'n as non-terminal and if no pred'n we repeat output

→ so $A^*(x, y)$ is defined $\forall x, y \in A^*$

3.) $STOP_A(x, y)$ if A terminates on input x in $\leq |y|$ steps (i.e. the indicator function for this rel'n)

4.) $G(x) = x'$ where $x' = x$ w/ all commas deleted

→ is pr since

$$G(\epsilon) = \epsilon$$

$$G(x\sigma_j) = G(x)\sigma_j \quad \text{if } \sigma_j \neq \epsilon$$

$$G(x,) = G(x)$$

- We'll use these to define F recursively
- WTS 2.) + 3.) are p.v. too

Claim A^* is p.v.

PF (Sketch) - Sp's $A \cup p_1 \rightarrow q_1, \dots, p_m \rightarrow q_m$ (some of these may be terminal)

- Let $REP(p, q, z)$ = word obtained by replacing first instance of p in z by q (or returns z if p does not appear)
- REP intuitively pr: does bdd search (length $|z|$) for p , then

replaces by q (p.r. operation)
 $\hookrightarrow$ we take for granted is p.r.

~~then: $A^*(x, y) = \text{REP}(p_1, q_1, A^*(xy))$~~

— then: $A^*(x, \epsilon) = x$

and

$$A^*(x, y\sigma_j) = \begin{cases} \text{REP}(p_1, q_1, A^*(xy)) & \text{if } p_1 \text{ first } p_i \text{ in } A^*(xy) \\ \text{REP}(p_2, q_2, A^*(xy)) & \text{if } p_2 \text{ " "} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{REP}(p_m, q_m, A^*(xy)) & \text{if } p_m \text{ " "} \\ A^*(x, y) & \text{if no } p_i \text{ appears in } A^*(xy) \end{cases}$$

- this is piecewise pr (conditions intuitively pr since involve bdd search in $A^*(x, y)$ for $p_1, \dots, p_m$)
- hence pr ✓

Claim STOP_A is p.r.

PF (sketch) — first consider the relation

$R_A(x)$ iff there is i s.t. p_i is a subword of x , no previous p_k is, and $p_i \rightarrow q_i$ is terminal

$\hookrightarrow$ p.r. since bounded search for terminal p_i 's.

— now observe

$STOP_A(x, c)$ if none of p_k 's in x
 $STOP_A(x, y\sigma_j)$ if $STOP_A(x, y)$
or $(\neg STOP_A(x, y) \wedge R_A(A^*(x, y)))$
or $(\neg STOP_A(x, y) \wedge \text{no } p_k \text{ appears in } A^*(x, y))$

everything pr in this pr. defⁿ
 $\Rightarrow STOP_A$ is p.r. ✓

Finally, observe that:

for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (A^*)^n$
 $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \phi_A^n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$= G(A^*(In(x_1, \dots, x_n),$
 $\mu, y[STOP_A(In(x_1, \dots, x_n), y)])$

if we let $x = In(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
 this looks for shortest y of form
 $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_i$ (only length is relevant)
 s.t. A terminates on input x in
 exactly $|y|$ steps
 and in this case returns output
 of A on x (w/ commas removed)

(c) returns $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ✓

is clearly recursive since one
 μ_1 -operator + p.r. stuff ✓