

Fact: the jump is not a successor operation; in fact there are many degrees between ω and ω' .

The arithmetic hierarchy

" $\exists$ "

" $\forall$ "

Let $\Sigma_1^0 :=$ class of r.e. sets

$\Pi_1^0 :=$ complements of r.e. sets ("co-r.e.")

$\Delta_1^0 := \Sigma_1^0 \cap \Pi_1^0 =$ recursive sets

defined by $\exists k P(\vec{x}, k)$
P recursive

117a: $A \in R \iff A, A^c \in RE$

Note: Σ_1^0 closed under $\exists$ -quantification

hence Π_1^0 closed under $\forall$ -quantification

Δ_1^0 closed under complements

(but neither $\forall$ nor $\exists$ quant'n in general)

i.e. family of relations defined by such formulas

Can continue: $\Sigma_2^0 := \{ \exists k P(\vec{x}, k) \mid P \in \Pi_1^0 \}$

$\Pi_2^0 := \{ P^c \mid P \in \Sigma_1^0 \}$

$\Delta_2^0 := \Sigma_2^0 \cap \Pi_2^0$ i.e. $\exists P$

In general: $\Sigma_{n+1}^0 := \{ \exists k P(\vec{x}, k) \mid P \in \Pi_n^0 \}$

$\Pi_{n+1}^0 := \{ \neg P \mid P \in \Sigma_n^0 \}$

$\Delta_{n+1}^0 := \Sigma_{n+1}^0 \cap \Pi_{n+1}^0$

Claim $\Sigma_n^0 \cup \Pi_n^0 \subseteq \Delta_{n+1}^0 (= \Sigma_{n+1}^0 \cap \Pi_{n+1}^0)$

PF: induction (you try)

(26)

↳ the collection of sets appearing in this hierarchy are called arithmetical sets (or arithmetical relations)

↳ so (by the claim) we have
 class of arithmetical sets $= \bigcup_n \Sigma_n^0 = \bigcup_n \Pi_n^0$
 $= \bigcup_n \Delta_n^0$

Prop'n ① Σ_n^0 is closed under $\wedge, \vee$,
 bdd quantification, substitution by total recursive functions, and $\exists$ -quantification

② Π_n^0 is closed under " " "

" " , and $\forall$ -quantification

③ Δ_n^0 is closed under " " "

" " , and $\neg$

PF: Induction on n

- for $n=1$: did in 117a

- So sps for n .

- We prove ①. ②, ③ are similar.

For $\wedge$: sps $R_1(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists k P_1(k, \vec{x})$

$R_2(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists l P_2(l, \vec{x})$

where P_1, P_2 are Π_n^0

- Define $P(k, l, \vec{x}) := P_1(k, \vec{x}) \wedge P_2(l, \vec{x})$

- By induction $P \in \Pi_n^0$
- Hence $R_1 \wedge R_2 \in \Sigma_{n+1}^0$ since
 $(R_1 \wedge R_2)(\vec{x}) \text{ iff } \exists w P((w)_0, (w)_1, \dots, \vec{x})$
 (i.e. the intersection of the relations is definable by a Σ_{n+1}^0 formula)

- others are similar ✓

Prop'n Σ_n^0 and Π_n^0 have the enumeration property.

PF: Induction on n .

- for $n=1 \Rightarrow 117a$.
- Sp's true for n , and consider Σ_{n+1}^0
- want, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a universal k -ary Σ_{n+1}^0 relation $W^{k+1}(e, x_1, \dots, x_k)$
- by induction can find a universal $(k+1)$ -ary Π_n^0 relation $U^{k+2}(e, y, x_1, \dots, x_k)$
- define $W^{k+1}(e, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ by

$$\exists y U^{k+2}(e, y, x_1, \dots, x_k)$$
- then $W^{k+1} \in \Sigma_{n+1}^0$ (by its def'n)
- moreover if $R \in \Sigma_{n+1}^0$ is k -ary then:

$$R(\vec{x}) \text{ iff } \exists y Q(y, \vec{x})$$

 for some $Q \in \Pi_n^0$

$$\text{iff } \exists y U^{k+2}(e, y, \vec{x})$$

 for some $e \in \mathbb{N}$, by universality of U^{k+2}

iff $w^{k+1}(e, \vec{x})$

- hence w^{k+1} is unward for k -ary Σ_{n+1}^0 ~~rel's~~ ✓
- similar for Π_{n+1}^0 ✓✓

Prop'n Δ_n^0 does not have the enumeration property

doesn't
contradict
Kleene
enum'n
thm?
why?

PF: you try (diagonal arg + fact that Δ_n^0 closed under complements)

Corollary:

- Σ_n^0, Π_n^0 not closed under complements
- Σ_n^0 not closed under $\forall$
- Π_n^0 not closed under $\exists$
- $\Sigma_n^0 \cup \Pi_n^0 \subsetneq \Delta_{n+1}^0 \begin{matrix} \subsetneq \Sigma_{n+1}^0 \\ \subsetneq \Pi_{n+1}^0 \end{matrix}$

PF: exercise

Example: let $\{\varphi_e\}$ be an effective, acceptable enumeration of the unary recursive functions

have
s-m-n
function

let $C = \{e \mid \varphi_e \text{ is total}\}$
what is complexity of C ?