

e.g. $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x^2 \geq 0)$ is meaningful (42)
 $(\forall x)(x^2 \geq 0)$ is not.

↳ but then: what if we want to quantify over variables referring to sets?

- e.g. to write "For every set S , we have $\emptyset \subseteq S$."

Symbolically we might try:

$$(\forall S \in (\dots)) (\emptyset \subseteq S)$$

↗
set of all sets??

- but the collection of all sets is not a set (Russell's paradox)

- Convention: when quantifying over set variables, we'll write statements verbally, i.e.

"For all sets S , ..."

"There exists ~~is~~ a set A , ..."

Connectives and Truth Tables

(43)

- Connectives are symbols used to combine multiple statements into one.
- all our connectives will be binary (connect two statements into one) except negation which is unary.
- Truth tables tell us how the truth of a connected statement depends on the truth of its constituent statements.

Conjunction ("and")

- The conjunction of two statements P, Q is written $P \wedge Q$. ("P and Q")
- $P \wedge Q$ is true, if both P and Q are true.

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Ex 5: Let P denote
" $(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}) (x+1 > x)$ "

Let Q denote

"97 is prime"

Let R denote

" $2+2 = 5$ "

Then P, Q are (T) but R is (F)

- hence $P \wedge Q$ is (T) while $P \wedge R$ and $Q \wedge R$ are both (F)
- written as, $P \wedge Q$ is:

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z})(x+1 > x) \wedge (97 \text{ is prime})$$

inserting parentheses
can clarify an expression

Disjunction ("or")

- The disjunction of two statements P, Q is written $P \vee Q$ ("P or Q")
- $P \vee Q$ is true iff at least one of P, Q ~~statements~~ is true.

P	Q	$P \vee Q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

e.g. $\overset{T}{\downarrow} (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x^2 \geq 0) \vee (\overset{F}{\downarrow} 96 \text{ is prime})$
 $\vee$ (T) while
 $(\overset{F}{\uparrow} \forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x \geq 0) \vee (\overset{F}{\uparrow} 96 \text{ is prime})$
is (F).

Negation ("not")

- our only unary connective
- negation of a statement P written $\neg P$ ("not P ")
- $\neg P$ is true iff P is false

P	$\neg P$
T	F
F	T

Ex's ① $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N})(\exists y \in \mathbb{N})(y^2 = x)$
is (F), hence

② $\neg (\forall x \in \mathbb{N})(\exists y \in \mathbb{N})(y^2 = x)$
is (T), hence:

③ $\neg \neg (\forall x \in \mathbb{N})(\exists y \in \mathbb{N})(y^2 = x)$
is (F) again.

④ For any statement P , the statement

$$P \vee \neg P \text{ is (T)}$$

$$\text{whence } P \wedge \neg P \text{ is (F)}$$

e.g. $(96 \text{ is prime}) \vee \neg(96 \text{ is prime})$

is (T) while

$(96 \text{ is prime}) \wedge \neg(96 \text{ is prime})$

is (F).

Can use connectives in var props too! e.g. let $P(x,y)$ denote

" $(x > 0) \wedge (y \text{ is prime})$ "

then: $P(3,5)$ is (T)

but $P(3,6)$ is (F)

also: $(\exists x,y \in \mathbb{N}) P(x,y)$ is (T)

but $(\forall x,y \in \mathbb{N}) P(x,y)$ is (F).

- also in def's, set-builder notation etc.

- e.g. if A, B are subsets of a universal set U , can define: