

i.e. $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $21m + 27n = 3$

but there are not m', n' s.t. $21m' + 27n' = 2$ or 1 .

Pf of Bezout: - Define $S = \{c \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$
 $c = am + bn\}$

= set of positive linear combos of a, b .

- Observe: S is not empty since e.g. $1a + 1b \in S$.

- Hence S has a least el't d , by WOP.

- Fix $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $d = am + bn$ (possible since $d \in S$).

WTS: $d = \gcd(a, b)$

Claim 1: ① $d \mid a$ and ② $d \mid b$

Pf: by division algorithm we can write $a = q \cdot d + r$ with $0 \leq r < d$ (WTS: $r = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r &= a - qd \\ &= a - q(am + bn) \\ &= (1 - qm)a + (-qn)b \end{aligned}$$

- hence r is a linear combo of a, b

- we know $r \geq 0$. If $r > 0$ then $r \in S$.
- but $r < d$, so if $r \in S$ would contradict minimality of d
- hence $r \notin S$, i.e. $r = 0$.

$\Rightarrow a = q \cdot d$ so $d \mid a$

② similarly can prove $d \mid b$.

Claim 2 d is greatest common divisor of a, b

PF: - s.p.s $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \mid a$ and $t \mid b$

(- we prove $t \mid d$ which gives $t \leq d$.

$\rightarrow \exists k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $a = lt$ $b = kt$

so: $d = am + bn$

$= ltm + ktn$

$= t(lm + kn)$

$\Rightarrow t \mid d$

Claim 1 + Claim 2 proves theorem. ✓

Def'n Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say a, b are relatively prime iff $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ (201)

↳ It follows from Bezout: if a, b are relatively prime then $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $am + bn = 1$.

Ex's ① $\gcd(25, 36) = 1$. So Bezout guarantees $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $25m + 36n = 1$.

And indeed: $25(-23) + 36(16) = 1$

② Observe: if p is prime then for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ either $p|a$ or $\gcd(a, p) = 1$

In particular if p, q are distinct primes then $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Hence $\exists m, n$ s.t. $pm + qn = 1$ by Bezout.

e.g. if $p = 7$ $q = 31$ then

$$7(9) + 31(-2) = 1$$

Theorem (Euclid's Lemma)

(202)

Fix $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a|bc$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ then $a|c$.

PF:- Sp. $a|bc$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

- then $\exists l \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $bc = al$

- by Bezout, also $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.
 $am + bn = 1$.

So: $c = c \cdot 1$

$$= \text{~~corollary~~} c(am + bn)$$

$$= acm + bcn$$

$$= acm + aln$$

$$= a(cm + ln)$$

$\Rightarrow a|c$. ✓

or prop'n that follows immediately from them.

Corollary:

Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a prime. If $p|ab$ ~~and~~ then $p|a$ or $p|b$. (or both)

PF:- If $p|a$, done. So assume $p \nmid a$.

- then $\gcd(p, a) = 1$

- Hence by Euclid: $p|b$.

Theorem (Fund. thm. of arithmetic) (223)

Every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$, can be written uniquely (up to order of factors) as a product of primes.

PF: Two parts: ① existence: every $n > 1$ can be written as a product of primes (proved already by strong induction) ✓

② uniqueness: HW

Ex's ① $21 = 3 \cdot 7 = 7 \cdot 3$ — no other way to factor into primes

$$\begin{aligned} 21 &\neq 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \\ &\neq 2 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{② } 200 &= 2 \cdot 100 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 50 \\ &= 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 25 \\ &= 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \\ &= 2^3 \cdot 5^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{③ } 97 = 97 \quad (\text{is prime})$$

We proved following theorem on day 1 (204)
- but implicitly used FTOA. Let's see complete proof now.

Thm (Euclid) There are infinitely many primes.

PF: - SpS not, i.e. SpS there are only finitely many primes, say $p_1, \dots, p_N$.

- Define $M = p_1 p_2 \dots p_N + 1$

- By FTOA, M has a (unique) prime factorization, in particular, M is divisible by some prime p .

- must have $p \in \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N\}$ (these are the only primes, i.e. $p = p_j$ for some j $1 \leq j \leq N$).

- So: $M = p_j \cdot k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- But we knew $M = p_1 p_2 \dots p_N + 1$

$$= p_j \underbrace{(p_1 p_2 \dots p_{j-1} p_{j+1} \dots p_N)}_{\text{call this } l} + 1$$

$$= p_j l + 1$$

Hence: $M = p_j k = p_j l + 1$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = p_j k - p_j l = p_j (k - l)$$

$$\Rightarrow p_j \mid 1, \text{ a contradiction as } p_j > 1$$

(since p_j is prime) ✓

Note: proof actually shows that if $\{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$ is any set of primes, the number $p_1 p_2 \dots p_N + 1$ can only be divisible by primes $p \notin \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$

e.g. consider $\{3, 5, 7\}$

$$\text{then: } 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 + 1 = 106 = 2 \cdot 53$$

↑
no 3, 5, 7 in factors