

Ex: Can rewrite our tautologies above as logical equivalences

$$(i) A \equiv \neg\neg A$$

(ii) (De Morgan's laws)

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \equiv \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\neg(A \vee B) \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

(iii) (Distributive laws)

$$A \wedge (B \vee C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$$

$$A \vee (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$$

- other useful equivalences:

$$(iv) (A \Rightarrow B) \equiv (\neg A \vee B)$$

$$(v) (A \Leftrightarrow B) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$$

$$(vi) (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C \equiv (A \Rightarrow C) \vee (B \Rightarrow C)$$

Pf: you try

↳ "Appendix A" in Files tab on Canvas contains list of useful tautologies/ equivalences

- Some other facts you can use:

Fact 1: if  $A$  is a wff containing the variables  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  and  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  are wffs then: if  $A$  is a tautology

so is  $A[p_1/B_1, \dots, p_n/B_n]$

(i.e. formula obtained by replacing each instance of  $p_i$  in  $A$  w/  $B_i$ )

- we've already been using this fact

Ex:  $p \Leftrightarrow \neg\neg p$  is a tautology

pf. truth table

| $p$ | $\neg p$ | $\neg\neg p$ | $p \Leftrightarrow \neg\neg p$ |
|-----|----------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 0   | 1        | 0            | 1                              |
| 1   | 0        | 1            | 1                              |

Hence for any  $A$ ,  $A \Leftrightarrow \neg\neg A$  is a tautology

(an observation we made previously)

Fact 2: Ifs  $A$  is a wff and  $B$  is a subformula of  $A$

If  $B \equiv B'$  and  $A'$  is fmla obtained by replacing  $B$  w/  $B'$  in  $A$ , then  $A' \equiv A$ .

Ex: If  $A = (p \Rightarrow (q \wedge r))$

then since  $p \equiv \neg\neg p$

$A \equiv (\neg\neg p \Rightarrow (q \wedge r))$

## Truth functions

- can view a wff  $A$  w/ vars  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  as defining a truth function from  $\{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

(36)

- Given an input "truth vector"  $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , can define an assignment by  $v(p_i) = e_i$
- think of  $v(A)$  as output
- Conversely: given  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  can ask: is there a wff  $A$  whose truth function is  $f$ ?

Ex (i) Consider  $A = (p_1 \wedge p_2)$

| $p_1$ | $p_2$ | $A$ |
|-------|-------|-----|
| 0     | 0     | 0   |
| 0     | 1     | 0   |
| 1     | 0     | 0   |
| 1     | 1     | 1   |

input vectors

output

Corresponding "truth function" is  $f: \{0, 1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  defined by  
 $f(0, 0) = f(0, 1) = f(1, 0) = 0$   
 $f(1, 1) = 1$

(ii) Consider  $g: \{0, 1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  defined by  $g(0, 0) = g(1, 1) = 0$  and  $g(1, 0) = g(0, 1) = 1$   
 is there some wff  $A$  whose "truth function" is  $g$ ?

- let  $A = (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2) \vee (\neg p_1 \wedge p_2)$

| $p_1$ | $p_2$ | $A$ |
|-------|-------|-----|
| 0     | 0     | 0   |
| 0     | 1     | 1   |
| 1     | 0     | 1   |
| 1     | 1     | 0   |

← coincides w/  $g$ !

Def'n an  $n$ -ary truth function is a map  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

- note: such a function has  $2^n$  inputs  
~~Domain~~
- each input yields 0 or 1 as output
- hence # of  $n$ -ary truth functions  

$$= \underbrace{2 \times 2 \times \dots \times 2}_{2^n \text{ times}} = 2^{2^n}$$

$n=0$ : we think of "0-ary" functions (no inputs) as constants

- So there are 2 0-ary truth functions: the constants 0 and 1

$n=1$ : there are  $2^2 = 4$  unary truth functions: can collect all in a table:

| $x$ | $f_1(x)$ | $f_2(x)$ | $f_3(x)$ | $f_4(x)$ |
|-----|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0   | 0        | 0        | 1        | 1        |
| 1   | 0        | 1        | 0        | 1        |

- $f_1, f_4$  are constant functions,  $f_2$  is identity
- $f_3$  is "bit-flip", corresponds to formula  $A = \neg x$

$n=2$ :  $2^{2^2} = 16$  binary truth functions

- each connective  $\neg, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$  corresponds to a binary truth function
- e.g.  $\neg$  corresponds to  $f(x, y)$  defined by  $f(0, 0) = f(1, 0) = f(0, 1) = 0$   
 $f(1, 1) = 1$
- what about the other 11? (can also think of these as "binary connectives")
- e.g. consider  $f$  corr. to formula  $\neg(x \vee y)$   $\leftarrow$  also write  $x \downarrow y$  ("nor")

| $x$ | $y$ | $x \downarrow y$ |
|-----|-----|------------------|
| 0   | 0   | 1                |
| 0   | 1   | 0                |
| 1   | 0   | 0                |
| 1   | 1   | 0                |

... or  $\neg(x \wedge y)$   $\leftarrow$  also write  $x \uparrow y$  ("nand")

| $x$ | $y$ | $x \uparrow y$ |
|-----|-----|----------------|
| 0   | 0   | 1              |
| 0   | 1   | 1              |
| 1   | 0   | 1              |
| 1   | 1   | 0              |

- note: not all binary truth functions depend on both variables

(39)

- e.g. if  $F(0,0) = F(0,1) = 1$   
 $F(1,0) = F(1,1) = 0$
- then  $F(x,y) = 1-x$   
 corresponds to formula  $\neg x$   
 (only one variable)

$n=3$  :  $2^{2^3} = 256$  ternary ~~truth functions~~  
 truth functions

- can think of as "ternary connectives"
- e.g. consider  $\text{maj}: \{0,1\}^3 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$   
 defined by  $\text{maj}(x,y,z) = 1$  if at  
 least 2 of  $x,y,z$  are 1
- so  $\text{maj}(1,0,1) = \text{maj}(0,1,1) = 1$   
 $\text{maj}(1,0,0) = 0$

Q: can you write down a formula  $A$   
 in vars  $x,y,z$  corresponding to  $\text{maj}$ ?

Notation: we write  $A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$   
 to mean the variables in  $A$  are  
among  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  (some may not  
 appear)

- e.g. if  $A = \neg p_2$  could still write  
 $A(p_1, p_2)$

(40)

Def'n For a wff  $A(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ , write  $f_A^n$  for  $A$ 's  $n$ -ary truth function, defined by

$$f_A(e_1, \dots, e_n) = (\text{truth value of } A \text{ under assignment } p_i \mapsto e_i)$$

- often write  $f_A$  (no superscript): but this is ambiguous!
- for every  $A$ , inf. ~~a~~ many corresponding truth functions
  - e.g. if  $A = p_1 \wedge p_2$  then  ~~$A(p_1, p_2)$~~  and  $f_A^2 = f_A^2(x, y) = 1$  iff  $x=y=1$
  - but also  $A(p_1, p_2, p_3)$  and  $f_A^3$  is the (degenerate) ternary function defined  $f_A^3(x, y, z) = 1$  iff  $x=y=1$  (no  $z$  dependence)

Observe:  $f_A = f_B$  iff  $A \equiv B$

Theorem Every  $n$ -ary truth function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is of the form  $f_A$  for some formula  $A = A(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  involving only the connectives  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow$

PF: - if  $f$  is identically 0, define  $A = (p_1 \wedge \neg p_1)$ ; then  $f = f_A$

(41)

- c/w for each ~~set~~  $S = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \{0,1\}^n$   
s.t.  $F(S) = 1$  (must be at least one)  
define ~~the~~

$$A_S = E_1 p_1 \wedge E_2 p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge E_n p_n$$

where  $E_i = \text{nothing}$  if  $e_i = 1$   
 $= \neg$  if  $e_i = 0$

(e.g. if  $F(1,0,1) = 1$ , for  $S = (1,0,1)$   
 have  $A_S = p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge p_3$ )

- observe:  $A_S$  is true iff its variables  
are assigned the values in  $S$   
 (e.g.  $p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge p_3$  true iff  $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1, 0, 1)$ )

$$\text{i.e. } f_{A_S}(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1 \text{ iff } (e_1, \dots, e_n) = S$$

- <sup>next</sup> enumerate all  $S$  s.t.  $F(S) = 1$  as  $S_1, \dots, S_m$
- define  $A = A_{S_1} \vee \dots \vee A_{S_m}$
- observe:  $F_A(S) = 1$  iff one of  $A_{S_1}, \dots, A_{S_m}$   
true iff  $S = \text{one of } S_1, \dots, S_m$
- hence  $f = f_A$  ✓

Ex Sps ~~the~~  $f = f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$   
 defined by following table.

| $x_1$ | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ |
|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| 0     | 0     | 0     | 0                  |
| 0     | 0     | 1     | 1                  |
| 0     | 1     | 0     | 0                  |
| 0     | 1     | 1     | 1                  |
| 1     | 0     | 0     | 1                  |
| 1     | 0     | 1     | 0                  |
| 1     | 1     | 0     | 0                  |
| 1     | 1     | 1     | 0                  |

output = 1

then  $f = f_A$  where  $A = (\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge p_3) \vee (\neg p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge p_3) \vee (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \neg p_3)$  ✓

Corollary every wff  $A$  is logically equiv to a wff written using only  $\neg, \vee, \wedge$

PF: by above can find  $B$  using only  $\neg, \vee, \wedge$  s.t.  $f_B = f_A$   
but then  $B \equiv A$  ✓

— in fact: only need  $\neg$  and  $\wedge$

why:  $p \vee q \equiv \neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ , so can replace every instance of  $\vee$

— ... or can use just  $\neg$  and  $\vee$

why:  $p \wedge q \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$

(43)

Ex. - given  $A$ , one way to find  $B \equiv A$  using only  $\neg, \vee, \wedge$  is to look at truth table for  $A$  and construct  $B$  as in pf of thm

- another way is to replace instances of  $\Rightarrow$  and  $\Leftrightarrow$  directly using the equivalences:

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$$

$$p \Leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

- e.g. if  $A = (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Leftrightarrow r)$  then

$$A \equiv (\neg p \vee q) \wedge [(q \wedge r) \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg r)]$$

- if we wanted to use only  $\neg$  and  $\wedge$  could go further:

$$A \equiv \neg(\neg\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge [\neg(q \wedge r) \wedge \neg(\neg q \wedge \neg r)]$$

Def'n a set of connectives  $C \subseteq \{\neg, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$  is called complete if every  $A$  is  $\equiv$  some  $A'$  written using only connectives in  $C$

Ex (i) so  $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$ ,  $\{\neg, \wedge\}$ , and  $\{\neg, \vee\}$  are all complete

(ii)  $\{\neg, \Rightarrow\}$  is too (you try!)

Prop'n  $\{\neg, \Leftrightarrow\}$  is not complete

(44)

PF: Lemma if  $A(p_1, p_2)$  is written using only  $\neg$  and  $\Leftrightarrow$  then sum of all possible truth values of  $A$  is even  
 i.e.  $f_A(0,0) + f_A(0,1) + f_A(1,0) + f_A(1,1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

PF: induction on  $A$

(BC) if  $A = p_1$  then  $f_A(x_1, x_2) = x_1$   
 and  $f_A(0,0) + f_A(1,0) + f_A(0,1) + f_A(1,1)$   
 $= 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

Similarly for  $A = p_2$

(IS) Sp's true for  $A$ , consider  $\neg A$   
 Observe  $f_{\neg A} = 1 - f_A$

so  $f_{\neg A}(0,0) + f_{\neg A}(1,0) + f_{\neg A}(0,1) + f_{\neg A}(1,1)$   
 $= 4 - (\text{sum for } f_A) = 4 - (\text{even}) = \text{even}$   
 $\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

Now sp's true for  $A, B$  consider  $A \oplus B$

obs  $f_{A \oplus B} \equiv 1 - (f_A + f_B) \pmod{2}$

why!

hence  $f_{A \oplus B}(0,0) + \dots + f_{A \oplus B}(1,1)$   
 $= 4 - (\text{sum for } f_A) - (\text{sum for } f_B)$   
 $= 4 - \text{even} - \text{even} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \checkmark$

(45)

~~Prop'n~~ - but then e.g.  $A = (p \wedge q)$   
~~Prop'n~~ is not equiv to formula using  $\neg, \Rightarrow$   
 - why:  $F_A(0,0) + F_A(0,1) + F_A(1,0) + F_A(1,1)$   
 $= 0 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 1 \neq 0 \pmod{2}$  ✓

- Can show: none of the connectives  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$  are complete on their own
- However:

Prop'n  $\neg$  and  $\vee$  are complete connectives (i.e.  $\{ \neg \}$  and  $\{ \vee \}$  are complete)

PF: can check by truth table.

$$\neg p \equiv p \downarrow p \equiv p \downarrow p$$

$$p \vee q \equiv (p \downarrow p) \downarrow (q \downarrow q)$$

$$p \wedge q \equiv ((p \downarrow p) \downarrow (q \downarrow q)) \downarrow ((p \downarrow p) \downarrow (q \downarrow q))$$

- Since  $\{ \neg, \wedge \}$  and  $\{ \neg, \vee \}$  are complete follows  $\{ \neg \}$  and  $\{ \vee \}$  are complete ✓

## Normal Forms

Def'n a wff  $A$  is in disjunctive normal form (dnf) if of the form:

$$A = (l_{1,1} \wedge l_{1,2} \wedge \dots \wedge l_{1,n_1}) \vee (l_{2,1} \wedge l_{2,2} \wedge \dots \wedge l_{2,n_2}) \vee \dots \vee (l_{k,1} \wedge l_{k,2} \wedge \dots \wedge l_{k,n_k})$$

write:  $= \bigvee_{l=1}^k \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n_k} l_{i,j}$

where each  $l_{i,j}$  is a literal i.e. either a variable  $p$  or negation  $\neg p$

Ex  $(p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \vee (r \wedge \neg s) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg s)$   
is in dnf, but  
 $(p \vee q) \wedge r$  and  $p \Rightarrow (q \wedge r \wedge \neg s)$  are not.

Theorem every wff  $A$  is logically equiv to a wff  $A'$  in dnf

PF: Follows from pf of prev. thm  
- if  $A = A(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ , look @ truth table for  $F = F_A$   
- Construct formula  ~~$A'$~~   $A'$  for  $F$  as before, by construction  $A' \equiv F$   
- Since  $F_A' = F = F_A$ ,  $A' \equiv A$  ✓

Def'n a wff  $A$  is in conjunctive normal form (cnf) if of form

$$A = \bigwedge_{l=1}^k \bigvee_{j=1}^{n_k} l_{i,j}$$

where each  $l_{i,j}$  a literal.

↗  
i.e.  $p$  or  $\neg p$   
for some  $p$